

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6                   **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
7                   **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

8                   \* \* \*

9  
10                  WILLIE WILLIAMS

11                  Plaintiff,

Case No. 2:19-cv-00497-RFB-VCF

12                  v.

13                  UMC OF SOUTHERN NEVADA;  
14                  DANIEL D. LEE;  
15                  *et al*

Defendants.

16  
17                  

---

**ORDER**

18                  Before the Court for consideration is the Report and Recommendation of the Honorable  
19                  Cam Ferenbach, United States Magistrate Judge, entered April 8, 2019. ECF No. 3

20                  A district court “may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or  
21                  recommendations made by the magistrate.” 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). A party may file specific  
22                  written objections to the findings and recommendations of a magistrate judge. 28 U.S.C. §  
23                  636(b)(1); Local Rule IB 3-2(a). When written objections have been filed, the district court is  
24                  required to “make a de novo determination of those portions of the report or specified proposed  
25                  findings or recommendations to which objection is made.” 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); see also Local  
26                  Rule IB 3-2(b). Pursuant to Local Rule IB 3-2(a), objections were due by April 22, 2019. Plaintiff  
27                  filed an objection on April 19, 2019.

28                  Judge Ferenbach recommended that the Court dismiss this case for lack of subject-matter  
jurisdiction, as all parties appear to be citizens of Nevada and there is therefore no basis for

1 diversity jurisdiction. See 28 U.S.C. §§ 1332 (a court has diversity jurisdiction when no defendant  
2 has the same citizenship as any plaintiff and the amount in controversy exceeds \$75,000).

3 Plaintiff, who is pro se, objects to this finding that there is no diversity of citizenship but  
4 alleges no facts that would allow the Court to conclude otherwise.

5 The Court has reviewed the record in this case and concurs with the Magistrate Judge's  
6 recommendations.

7 **IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED** that Report and Recommendation (ECF No. 3) is  
8 ACCEPTED and ADOPTED in full. The case is dismissed and Plaintiff's Motion/Application for  
9 Leave to Proceed in forma pauperis is denied as moot.

10 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that Defendant Daniel D. Lee's Motion to Dismiss is  
11 DENIED as moot.

12 The Clerk of the Court is instructed to close the case.

13  
14 DATED: February 20, 2020.  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

  
RICHARD F. BOULWARE, II  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE